1	
2	
3	
4	
5	LOUISIANA USED MOTOR VEHICLE COMMISSION
6	STATE OF LOUISIANA
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	REGULAR MEETING
14	NOVEMBER 16, 2020
15	BEGINNING AT 9:30 A.M.
16	HELD BY VIDEOCONFERENCE
17	3132 VALLEY CREEK
18	BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY:
22	BETTY D. GLISSMAN, CCR
23	
24	
25	

1 APPEARANCES:

2 3 CHAIRMAN: MR. JOHN POTEET 4 COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: 5 MR. JEFFEREY BRITT 6 MR. TONY CORMIER 7 MR. RICKY DONNELL 8 MR. GEORGE FLOYD 9 MR. STEPHEN OLAVE 10 MR. DINO TAYLOR 11 MR. RICHARD WATTS 12 13 14 15 REPRESENTING THE LOUISIANA USED MOTOR 16 17 VEHICLE COMMISSION: 18 19 ROBERT W. HALLACK, ESQUIRE HALLACK LAW OFFICE 20 13007 JUSTICE AVENUE BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70816 21 22 23 24

1	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
2	MR. WATTS:
3	Roll call, Kim.
4	MS. BARON:
5	John Poteet?
6	MR. POTEET:
7	Here.
8	MS. BARON:
9	George Floyd?
10	MR. FLOYD:
11	Here.
12	MS. BARON:
13	Tony Cormier?
14	MR. CORMIER:
15	Here.
16	MS. BARON:
17	Matthew Pederson?
18	MR. PEDERSON:
19	(No response.)
20	MS. BARON:
21	Richard Watts?
22	MR. WATTS:
23	Here.
24	MS. BARON:
25	Steve Olave?

1	MR. OLAVE:
2	Here.
3	MS. BARON:
4	Ricky Donnell?
5	MR. DONNELL:
6	Here.
7	MS. BARON:
8	Dino Taylor?
9	MR. TAYLOR:
10	Here.
11	MS. BARON:
12	Jeffrey Britt?
13	MR. BRITT:
14	Here.
15	MS. BARON:
16	Commissioner Watts, we have a quorum.
17	MR. WATTS:
18	Good. All right. Public comments?
19	MS. BARON:
20	We do not have any public comments on
21	the agenda.
22	MR. WATTS:
23	Adopt and approve the minutes for the
24	October meeting.
25	MR. BRITT:

1	I make a motion.
2	MR. DONNELL:
3	Second.
4	MR. WATTS:
5	Items for discussions and action.
6	Financial matters. Mona.
7	MS. ANDERSON:
8	In the packet that Kim sent you,
9	there are the financial statements for
10	September and October. On page 1 of the
11	September statement is the statement of net
12	position. These financials include the audit
13	adjusting journal entries that were done. The
14	balance in the operating account decreased in
15	September to a total of \$2,120,404. Fines
16	accounts receivable were slightly higher in
17	September. Noncurrent assets decreased due to
18	the recording of the surplus of some older
19	equipment and a vehicle at audit. We also
20	recorded depreciation for the '19-'20 fiscal
21	year. Current liabilities had normal balances
22	for payables and employee benefits.
23	On page 2, the long-term liabilities
24	include deferred revenue. The 2021 deferred
25	revenue is \$171,900. OPEB liability increased

after the audit by \$214,000 for a total of \$328,881. That's the recording of the possible liability for health benefits for retirees after retirement. The audit entries changed the unrestricted net position from a negative \$395,788 to a negative \$556,338.

7 On page 3, the year-to-date revenues were \$155,102 compared to \$561,890 last year. 8 9 The primary difference there were in the fines 10 that we had re-recorded. On page 4, the 11 salaries and related benefits were \$23,000 12 higher than the previous year. The remainder 13 of the expenses were \$18,500 higher than the 14 previous year. And the changes in net position 15 year to date was a negative \$183,618 compared 16 to a positive \$228,018 last year.

17 Page 6, the number of licenses, this 18 is the last time that we were going to see this 19 chart. We can't provide it going forward 20 because we won't be receiving fees for any 21 dealer licenses, only sales persons. And, as 22 you will see on the revenue statement in 23 October, the dealer fees are very low. Of the 24 paper applications we received, roughly a third 25 of them had come with fees, which is we apply

1 that fee as an overpayment on their account.
2 And all of the online applications come with
3 zero fees. That's due to the House Concurrent
4 Resolution 71.

5 On page 7, the four-year revenue 6 comparison. But at this time, the report --7 the renewals had not come in so we didn't have 8 any renewals in that report. And page 8 is a 9 visual depiction of that report. Page 9 is the 10 certificate of deposit report. The B1 Bank CDs 11 renewed on 9/25 at a rate of 0.40 which is sort 12 of the common rate right now. We are losing 13 all of the 1 and 2 percent CD rates.

14 On page 10, the fines -- hearing 15 fines report. We assessed \$1,350 in September 16 and we collected \$1,550 in September.

17 Turning on to the October financial 18 statements. On page 1 on the statement of net 19 position, the cash in the bank was \$2,061,835. 20 There is no change in the fines, accounts 21 receivable, and noncurrent assets remain the 22 Current liabilities were lower in same. 23 October. On page 2, the long-term liabilities 24 remain approximately the same. Again, this is 25 due to the HCR 71. We are not receiving any

1 deferred revenues.

2 On pages 3 through 5, the 3 year-to-date revenues were \$218,114 compared to 4 \$673,056 last year. The major difference is 5 the fines -- I am sorry -- the fee -- the 6 dealer renewal fees and, of course, we had more 7 fines last year. If you will note there on the overpayment line, there is roughly \$20,000 in 8 9 overpayments and these are the payments where 10 the dealers sent in a paper application for 11 their dealer license renewal and paid the fee. 12 On July 1, these overpayments are going to be 13 moved to the appropriate license fee renewal 14 and we will proceed forward. Dealers that 15 renewed online did not pay a fee and the fee feature was turned off. And they will receive 16 17 notifications about the fees due on or after 18 July 1 for the 2022 license year.

19 On page 4, the salaries and related 20 benefits were approximately the same as the 21 prior month. Page 5, the remainder of the 22 expenses were again lower than the prior year. 23 And at the bottom of that page, the change in 24 net position was a negative \$244,200 compared 25 to a positive \$218,000 last year. On page 6,

1 you can look at the year-to-date budget, the 2 actual expenditures chart. At this point in 3 the fiscal year, we should be about 4 one-third -- at one-third of the budget and 5 according to this chart, we are at or below 6 that goal. 7 On pages 7 and 8 is, again, the 4-year revenue comparison. The big difference 8 9 is in October we started our renewal period and 10 we are not getting those fees in. On page 9 is 11 the certificate of deposit report. There were 12 no changes there. And on page 10 is the 13 accounts receivable hearings report -- hearings 14 fine report. And the fines assessed and 15 collected were \$1,450. 16 Unless there are any questions, 17 Mr. Vice Chairman, that concludes my report on 18 the financial statements for September and 19 October. MR. OLAVE: 20 21 I have a question. 2.2 MR. WATTS: 23 You have a question. 24 MR. OLAVE: 25 Yes. Mona, first of all, I disagreed

with the resolution to stop the renewals and everything only because we are self-funded. We still have to regulate the industry and all, but okay. We have the resolution. Some of the mail-ins, have we notified the dealers that paid that they overpaid or didn't have to pay and give them an opportunity --

MS. ANDERSON:

8

21

9 Yes, sir. There were many notices 10 that went out. They got cards. There is 11 information on the website. They got -- Kim 12 sent out a letter, emailed a letter to them. 13 They received many notices. Some of them just 14 choose to do that rather than try to deal with 15 that later on, you know. So we apply it as an 16 overpayment and then we will go back and apply 17 that to their renewal. Unfortunately, in 18 addition to all of the problems that it creates 19 to do that, it's creating almost like two renewal periods for us. 20

MR. OLAVE:

No, no, I definitely understand the complications and I know we notified the dealers, but have we notified the ones that have really overpaid at this point? Do they

know? 1 2 MS. ANDERSON: 3 They know they were overpaying. 4 MR. OLAVE: 5 That's fair then. Okay. Thank you. 6 MR. WATTS: 7 Anybody else? I need a motion and a 8 second. 9 MR. CORMIER: 10 I make a motion. 11 MR. OLAVE: 12 I second the motion. 13 MR. WATTS: All right. Ratifications of imposed 14 15 penalties. Derek. 16 MS. ANDERSON: 17 We skipped the budget. 18 MR. PARNELL: 19 We have 2 and 4 of the financial matters that are still out there. 20 21 MR. WATTS: 2.2 Okay. Go ahead. 23 MS. ANDERSON: 24 So in the packet that Kim sent you is the proposed 2021-2022 budget. And the 25

1 revenues, not really an easy way to estimate 2 our revenues for that year. But we estimated 3 our total revenues at \$1,476,151. This is in 4 light of the impact of this House Concurrent 5 Resolution 71. We anticipated delay in 6 approximately \$600,000 worth of revenues. But, 7 in all likelihood, the revenues that we will receive will be more than we are indicating on 8 9 this budget, but we wanted to be a little 10 conservative in recognizing revenue.

11 So if you will turn to 6-A of the 12 We will begin the review of the budget budget. 13 Salaries and related benefits detail. 14 increased -- increases include a Civil Service 15 market adjustment at the same rate that the 16 employees received in 2020. So Civil Service 17 is attempting to adjust our salaries to be more 18 in line with the marketplace where other --19 because we were losing so many employees and 20 not able to get good qualified employees hired. 21 We don't know yet what the rates will be. They 2.2 will announce those later in the year.

The retirement contributions in 2020 decreased to 40.1 percent. So, in this budget, we estimated them to go back to where they

were, which was 40.7 percent. And, again, there is no way for us to estimate what that is going to be. That is determined by actuary based on the unfunded liability for the pension plan.

6 In January of 2021, this coming year, 7 group health insurance premiums will increase between 5.5 percent and 6 percent for both 8 9 employees and employer. That's the first time 10 since Blue Cross took us on that they 11 started -- that they increased both. And so we 12 used the same rate to determine the '21-'22 13 I doubt there are going to have two period. years back to back with increases. 14

15 On page BC-6b is the board 16 compensation. And then if you turn back to 17 page 6, the total salaries -- salaries, per 18 diem, and related benefits were estimated to be 19 \$1,409,985. On page 7, the travel 20 reimbursement for staff due to the likelihood 21 that commission meetings were going to continue 22 online, no travel was budgeted for board 23 members.

24On page 8, the operating expenses25includes about a 5 percent increase over the

2020-2021 actual estimated actual expenses.
 The maintenance other category includes the
 alarm, janitorial, lawn care, that type of
 thing. Miscellaneous expenses include bank
 fees and merchant fees that we have to pay for
 online fee transactions.

7 Page 9 are our professional services 8 and they're budgeted at contract as a 9 precautionary measure. The 2019 -- in 2019-'20 10 these expenses were less -- a lot less than the 11 budgeted amount. Page 10 is the other charges, 12 which are mainly computer IT-related expenses 13 such as the licensing and accounting program. 14 Page 11 is acquisitions and major repairs. We 15 will be purchasing a vehicle in the 2020 -- I 16 am sorry -- in the '21-'22 fiscal year. And we 17 added about \$6,000 for computers. We have got 18 most of the licensing computers at that point 19 in 2021-'22 will be at least five years old or 20 older.

The proposed budget expenditures, if you turn back to page 2, the expenditures for 23 2021 are \$1,856,754. Page 3 shows the net 24 position and also the unfunded -- I am sorry --25 the unearned fund balance. So we are

1 anticipating it looks pretty bleak for 2021, but that's because we have no fees coming in. 2 3 But we anticipate those fees to come in between July '21 and December '21. And so, hopefully, 4 5 the '21-'22 figures will also look better than what is shown. 6 7 Unless anyone has any questions. 8 That concludes my report on the proposed 9 2021-'22 budget. And we need a resolution to 10 adopt the budget. 11 MR. WATTS: 12 Anybody have any questions? I need a 13 motion. 14 MR. TAYLOR: 15 I make a motion. 16 MR. WATTS: 17 A second? 18 MR. CORMIER: 19 Second. 20 MR. WATTS: 21 Ratifications of imposed penalties. 2.2 Derek. 23 MR. PARNELL: 24 Yes. Commissioners, you will find in 25 your packet a chart that illustrates the

1 licensees that were in violation of state law. 2 These have been investigated and I have 3 determined that the public interest can be served without further administrative 4 5 proceeding. Civil penalties were imposed. We 6 do not have anyone here representing any person 7 that's on this list. So with that said, I will go through the list and address the individual 8 9 complaints.

10 The first one on the list is Joe's 11 Used Cars, LLC, from Alexandria, Louisiana, the 12 fine amount was \$700. The second on the list 13 is Zandra Green doing business as Rolling by Faith from Baton Rouge, Louisiana. If you will 14 15 look on your chart -- your agenda, you will see that person there four times. That's because 16 17 they were four separate complaints that we just 18 combined on this chart to illustrate what was 19 charged of that dealership. The fine amount 20 for this dealership was \$1,400 dollars. Next 21 on the list is Edward P. Nicholas doing 22 business as Best Auto in Baton Rouge, 23 Louisiana; the fine amount was \$500. The last 24 on the list is Southside Motors, LLC, from 25 Baton Rouge, Louisiana, fine amount is \$300.

1 The total amount of civil penalties is \$2,900. 2 Commissioners, I ask that you ratify the 3 imposed civil penalties assessed. 4 MR. BRITT: 5 I make a motion. 6 MR. FLOYD: 7 Second. 8 MR. WATTS: 9 Let's move on to the Executive 10 director's report. 11 MR. PARNELL: 12 I just want to give an update. After 13 speaking with Office of Motor Vehicles, I have 14 been in contact with them as we talked about 15 last month about the dealer plate situation. They told me to give them -- I reached out to 16 17 them a couple weeks ago. I was kind of 18 pressing them a little bit, but they asked me 19 to give them a couple of weeks in order to get that public tag agent application set up for 20 21 our agency so we can become a public tag 2.2 agency, therefore, would allow us to retain a 23 convenience fee of \$18 per transaction with the 24 dealer plate. That absolutely will allow us 25 all assessment of cost of issuing those dealer

1 plates. We have to have additional staff 2 duties training, updating our licensing 3 program, and accommodating our licensed --4 accommodate additional licenses time. So I am 5 going to reach out to them again this week just 6 to see what's going on with that application so 7 we can go ahead and move forward with 8 processing and getting that process. They said 9 that they would waive the surety bond 10 requirement for our agency so that way we would 11 just -- we would have to pay a small fee in 12 order to get that. But with that, I think it 13 would be much more convenient, more efficient 14 for dealers -- our dealers to actually come 15 through our agency to get dealer plates. They still will have the opportunity to go through 16 17 Motor Vehicle and the other avenues that's all 18 there. But as it relates to efficiency, in my 19 opinion it would be better for us to do that.

20 With renewals, again, we started in 21 August and it's going pretty well this year. 22 It's just -- the numbers look very bad and 23 strange at this point because of what the HCR 24 71 did, but I feel like we are going to recoup 25 back those fees. And so I think everything will be -- will work out pretty well
 budget-wise.

3 I did reach out to the director of board and commissions and their assistant as it 4 5 relates to the passing of our past 6 commissioner. They are looking at some 7 applicants that applied. So I am just waiting to hear back from them to find out what they 8 9 have decided to do as it relates to appointing 10 someone on our board moving forward.

11 Commissioners, I don't have any other 12 new information right now. Right now it has 13 been going fairly well, fairly smooth. So if 14 you have any questions or comments, please, at 15 this time.

16

MR. OLAVE:

17 Well, I think the first thing is 18 that, you know, Richard Watts brought this up 19 earlier, maybe a moment of silence in memory of Darty, you know. A silent pray or something. 20 21 He was a terrific guy. His dad was, too. They 22 were a big part of the Commission and, you 23 know, turned out to be a good friend as well. 24 So I think it's only right that we offer, you 25 know, that sign of respect if anybody is in

1 agreement. 2 MR. WATTS: 3 Let's do it. Ten seconds of silence, 4 please. 5 MR. OLAVE: 6 God please his family, too, man. 7 MR. BRITT: 8 I am glad Tony is back with us, too. 9 MS. WATTS: 10 Tony, glad to see you back. 11 MR. CORMIER: 12 Thank you. 13 MR. OLAVE: Absolutely. Absolutely. 14 15 MR. CORMIER: Life is short, man, you never know. 16 17 MR. OLAVE: 18 You ain't kidding. 19 I do have a question -- a follow-up question to Derek. There was an email sent out 20 21 concerning the Lenise Martin -- that dealer 2.2 case that has kind of been hovering everything 23 for the last year or two. What's going on with that? Because I thought they were going to be 24 25 on the agenda today.

MR. PARNELL:

1

2 Well, no, not today because we -- the 3 setting up what we scheduled them for is 4 January. We decided not to have any hearings 5 set up for today. We reached out to his 6 attorney this past week. Robert Hallack, 7 myself, and Kim Baron reached out to his attorney. We just kind of advised him that we 8 9 are going to put them on the agenda for 10 January to go ahead and kind of move through 11 that situation. 12 MR. OLAVE: 13 So they are going to be on the agenda 14 for January. 15 MR. PARNELL: 16 Yes. 17 MR. OLAVE: 18 I was just curious. I mean, are 19 we -- did they still file the suit? Has the 20 suit been dismissed? I mean, a lot of that 21 stuff I understand that the Commissioners' we 22 don't want prior knowledge, but a lot of that 23 stuff was public information. And I read 24 everything in the lawsuit and, again, you know, 25 suits come in all fashions, but I feel like it

was a serious enough, at least, allegations to bring that to light. And it just seems like it's been lingering for a very long time is all.

MR. PARNELL:

I will have to let Sheri -- she is 6 7 not on the call right now as it relates to the suit, how it is being handled. We do have --8 9 she is in communication with the Department of 10 Justice who represents our agency as it relates 11 to that. So I would have to get more 12 information from her as it relates to, you 13 know, what's been happening with the suit 14 itself, so.

15

5

MR. OLAVE:

16Okay. Robert, you don't have any17information concerning the suit or anything to18add since Sheri is not here?

19 MR. HALLACK:

I know it's been settled with the State of Louisiana and his suit again Expert Wholesales is going forward. So he has a civil suit against Expert. He had a civil suit against the Commission, which has been settled. MR. OLAVE:

1 Okay. 2 MR. HALLACK: 3 Are y'all aware of the settlement? 4 MR. WATTS: 5 No. 6 MR. OLAVE: 7 No. I am not. What was settled? If you can tell us. 8 9 MR. HALLACK: 10 It is my understanding that the State 11 of Louisiana on behalf of the Commission 12 settled \$10,000. Am I wrong about that? 13 MR. PARNELL: 14 You are correct, yes. 15 MR. DONNELL: Did that cost our commission 10,000? 16 17 MR. HALLACK: Came out of the Office of Risk 18 19 Management. 20 MR. DONNELL: 21 That's taxpayer money. 22 MR. BRITT: 23 Yes. 24 MR. HALLACK: 25 I am not sure how that -- where the

1 Risk Management gets its money from. I don't 2 know whether it's a fund or whether it's an insurance policy. It may be an insurance 3 4 policy. 5 MR. PARNELL: Yes. It is more like an insurance 6 7 policy that we pay into every month. 8 MR. OLAVE: 9 Well, did the Risk Management decide that the Commission had some fault in there or 10 11 did we settle to keep that out of, you know, 12 from public scrutiny? Or what was the 13 circumstances of the settlement? MR. HALLACK: 14 15 Well, like Derek said, Sheri was 16 involved with the settlement. I wasn't. But I 17 do know it settled for \$10,000, but I don't 18 know any terms of the settlement or anything. 19 MR. OLAVE: 20 What were the terms, Derek? 21 MR. PARNELL: 22 Offhand, I do not recall to be honest 23 with you. Like what I mentioned a little while 24 ago, I do need to touch base with Sheri and 25 have her present that at the next commission

1 meeting to you-all so we can have -- be on the 2 record as to what it was. 3 MR. OLAVE: 4 Okay. 5 MR. BRITT: 6 Moving forward, Derek, I 7 personally -- and I think most of the commissioners would agree -- because I dealt 8 9 with these situations for a long time in the 10 line of business that I am in -- I think that 11 for full disclosure to the commissioners that 12 we deserve to know every time something like 13 I think in the January meeting that happens. 14 Sheri needs to sit down with us and get on 15 Zoom. I can make arrangements for us to have 16 an in-person meeting in Baton Rouge in a room 17 big enough. But she needs to explain to us the 18 exact process, what happened, how it happened, 19 and this doesn't need to happen anymore. The 20 Commissioners need to be made aware of any 21 liability that we have. And that covers you, 22 Derek, that covers the whole office. And for 23 her to go do these settlements without 24 consulting with the Commission, I mean, I get 25 Risk Management doing that. I do. I dealt

1 with that when I was sheriff. And y'all --2 most everybody in here doesn't understand how 3 Risk Management works. But the office is 4 paying into it and there is an Office of Risk 5 Management, just like the sheriffs have, the 6 police chiefs have, and they -- it is like an 7 insurance policy but it costs everybody at the end of the day. I think the situation here 8 9 needs to be resolved whether it's full 10 disclosure about the entire case to all of the 11 Commissioners. Like Steve said, we have all 12 read the lawsuit. I mean, it is all public 13 record.

14

MR. OLAVE:

15 The issue with the lawsuit is that 16 there was some pretty serious allegations made 17 towards not only the Commission and the 18 operations of the Commission, but at one of the 19 investigators that was involved in that as 20 well. So I agree with the sheriff -- with Jeff 21 that if they found some fault with the 22 Commission or the investigator and felt 23 compelled to settle, that is information that we should have and, you know, resolve to do 24 25 something about or whatever. That is

1 definitely something we need to know. 2 MR. PARNELL: 3 Absolutely. 4 MR. BRITT: Let's try to get it this done in 5 6 January, Derek, so we can move forward, please. 7 MR. PARNELL: 8 Will do. Absolutely. 9 MS. ANDERSON: 10 Can I just say that Office of Risk 11 Management functions just as your own personal 12 insurance does. And you-all know whenever 13 something happens for which you are being 14 liable, which is whether, you know, there is a 15 lot of factors in there, but the insurance 16 company appoints an attorney and you really 17 don't have any power to say yeah or nay in 18 that. That's part of, you know, your insurance 19 company subrogates for it. So if they feel 20 you're in the wrong and then may make the 21 arrangement to do it, to pay it. And if they 2.2 don't feel you are wrong and then they can 23 subrogate against the other person's insurance. And so I think that is how Office of Risk 24 25 Management functions as an insurance company.

1 They have their own attorneys. And so while 2 Sheri was involved in and has knowledge of it, 3 it wasn't solely her decision. It was more 4 Office of Risk Management that decided that. 5 MR. OLAVE: No, no, I understand. I understand 6 7 that function. I am talking about the liability that was assessed. 8 9 MS. ANDERSON: 10 I just wanted to make sure everybody 11 understood how Office of Risk Management 12 functions for us. 13 MR. BRITT: 14 That's how it functions for 15 everybody, Ms. Mona, and I get that and I 16 understand that. I had to deal with that a 17 lot. But the issue here is there is steps that 18 took place prior to all of this happening that 19 we have no knowledge about. And if there were 20 investigations concerning one of our employees, 21 then we should know about it. Now, Derek gets 22 through looking at everything. He ought to sit 23 down with us as a group or as whole or 24 individually and say A, B, C, this is what 25 happened. So, I mean, to me it is not about

1 what Risk Management did; it is about how we 2 handled it internally. 3 MR. PARNELL: 4 No, absolutely, I understand. 5 MS. BARON: 6 Y'all need to put this on the 7 December agenda or January so we are discussing it during the hearing. 8 9 MR. PARNELL: 10 We can put it for December. 11 MR. OLAVE: 12 Let's get Sheri in the December 13 meeting and we can discuss what is public 14 knowledge and what happened. And then that way 15 we have a little better understanding for any 16 type of hearing we have in January, you know. 17 I agree with the sheriff. There is a lot of 18 stuff has happened already, you know, we need 19 to know about that may affect our ability to preside over the hearing in January. So can we 20 21 do that? 2.2 MR. PARNELL: 23 Yes. We will put it in for December. 24 That was one of the reasons why that I didn't 25 bring that before you-all, because I wasn't

1 sure about the liability of what you-all could 2 Because the majority what took place in know. 3 the situation that you read about has a lot to do with the case itself. And if I am bringing 4 5 that person before you as a board to look at a 6 case and you know all of the information that 7 took place as it relates to one of the investigators, you pretty much know the entire 8 9 case already.

10

MR. OLAVE:

11 But the lawsuit Sheri had sent me 12 that I had requested in one of the meetings, I 13 am not saying it is right. Again, that was all filed publicly. So I don't know how many 14 15 Commissioners read that, but it was compelling 16 that I asked about it several times, you know. 17 And, like I said, there were, you know, some 18 pretty serious allegations made in there 19 against our Commission and an investigator, you 20 know. So prior knowledge or not, if it is 21 public information.

MR. PARNELL:

23 Yes, I understand.

MR. OLAVE:

25

24

22

We all -- I mean, I know about it and

I know several of the other Commissioners know about it is all. MR. DONNELL: We just like to know what got us here to begin with. MR. PARNELL: Absolutely. We will put that on the December agenda and we will go from there. MR. WATTS: That sounds good. Everybody's done. Y'all are good? Time for adjournment. MR. DONNELL: So moved. MR. OLAVE: Second. (Meeting adjourned at 10:08 a.m.)

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, BETTY D. GLISSMAN, Certified Court
4	Reporter, Certificate No. 86150, in and for the
5	State of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the
6	Louisiana Used Motor Vehicle Commission
7	November 16, 2020, meeting was reported by me
8	in the stenotype reporting method, was prepared
9	and transcribed by me or under my personal
10	direction and supervision, and is a true and
11	correct transcript to the best of my ability
12	and understanding.
13	This November 30, 2020, Baton Rouge,
14	Louisiana.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	BETTY D. GLISSMAN, CCR
23	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
24	
25	